

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,075	01/28/2004	Jerry Price	P214430	2239
30662 7	590 03/16/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHACHT LAW OFFICE, INC. SUITE 202			NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE	
2801 MERIDIAN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BELLINGHAM, WA 98225-2412			3634	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/766,075	PRICE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	nuary 2004.				
·— ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau		d			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
<ul> <li>Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/10/2004</u>.</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/766,075

Art Unit: 3634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,894,940 (Gusdorf et al. '940) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,913,316 (Carpinelli '316).

Gusdorf et al. '940 disclose a support system comprising an accessory (7) adapted to support a product; a rear portion (128 - see Figure 15A) and a front portion (including 122, 126, and 5 - see Figure 15A) rigidly connected substantially perpendicularly to the rear portion (128) and supporting the accessory (7) therefrom; wherein when the rear portion (128) extends at least partially along the top surface (i.e., of a door), the front portion (122) will extend at least partially along the display surface (i.e., the front surface of the door); with respect to claim 4, the accessory (7) is a wire support (including elements 54 and 60); with respect to claim 5, the accessory (7) comprises a shelf bracket (69 - see Figure 4); and with respect to claim 6, the front portion (at 5) defines a plurality of slots (30 - see Figures 7 and 8) for receiving tabs (69) from the accessory. It is noted that doors can be fabricated from metal material.

The claims differ from Gusdorf et al. '940 in requiring a magnetic portion connected to the rear portion, so that when the device is placed on a metal structure, the magnetic portion will be attracted to the surface thereof to inhibit movement of the device from the surface.

Art Unit: 3634

Carpinelli '316 teach a magnetic portion (60) used to secure a device (10) to a metal surface so that the device (10) will not move (see column 3, lines 38-45).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the device of Gusdorf et al. '940 with a magnetic portion, as taught by Carpinelli '316, for increased securement and stability of the device when attached to a metal door.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Novosad whose telephone number is 571-272-6832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard E. Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> ennyfer E. Novosad **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3634